

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/671,952	09/26/2003	Denny Jaeger	4337	7292
Harris Zimmer	7590 06/28/201 man	0	EXAM	IINER
Law Offices of Harris Zimmerman			BRIER, JEFFERY A	
Suite 710 1330 Broadwa	v		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Oakland, CA 94612-2506			2628	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/28/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/671.952 JAEGER, DENNY Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Jeffery A. Brier 2628 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 May 2010. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

Application/Control Number: 10/671,952 Page 2

Art Unit: 2628

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 05/10/2010 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. The amendment filed on 05/10/2010 has been entered. The amendments to the specification overcomes the new matter objection set forth in the office action mailed on 12/13/2005. The amendments to claims 1 and 14 overcome the 35 USC 112 second paragraph rejection of those claims as set forth in the office action mailed on 12/13/2005.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on 05/10/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive to place the application in condition for allowance in view of August 24, 2009 interim patent subject matter eligibility examination instructions and in view of 01/26/2010 Kappos memo regarding Subject Matter Eligibility of Computer Readable Media. In view of current 35 USC 101 interpretations the previous indication of allowability is withdrawn and a 35 USC 101 rejection is set forth below. However, claims 1-28 do not read on art of record.

Application/Control Number: 10/671,952 Page 3

Art Unit: 2628

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the

conditions and requirements of this title.

5. Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is

directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Method claims 1-13 and 27:

Supreme Court precedent and recent Federal Circuit decisions indicate that a

statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to another statutory category

(such as a particular apparatus), or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an

article or material) to a different state or thing. While the instant claim(s) recite a series

of steps or acts to be performed, the claim(s) neither transform underlying subject

matter nor positively tie to another statutory category that accomplishes the claimed

method steps, and therefore do not qualify as a statutory process.

In view of August 24, 2009 interim patent subject matter eligibility examination

instructions sets forth a 35 USC 101 analysis which analysis renders these claims

patent ineligible because the method steps are not tied to a particular machine or do not

perform physical transformation. The instructions may be viewed at:

http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/2009-08-

25 interim 101 instructions.pdf.

Diamond v. Diehr, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); Parker v. Flook, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972); Cochrane v. Deener, 94 U.S. 780, 787-88 (1876).

² In re Bilski, 88 USPQ2d 1385 (Fed. Cir. 2008).

Application/Control Number: 10/671,952

Art Unit: 2628

These method claims do not tie the method to 1) a particular apparatus because the steps are abstract ideas and not tied to anything even the displaying steps are abstract steps not tied to anything or 2) transform underlying subject matter because no physical transformation occurs.

Product claims 14-26 and 28:

In view of August 24, 2009 interim patent subject matter eligibility examination instructions sets forth a 35 USC 101 analysis of these storage medium readable claims which analysis renders these claims patent ineligible because particular machine structure is not claimed. These storage medium readable claims in view of the 01/26/2010 Kappos memo regarding Subject Matter Eligibility of Computer Readable Media are covering signals and other transitory media.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffery A. Brier whose telephone number is (571) 272-7656. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 7:30 to 4:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xiao Wu can be reached at (571) 272-7761. The fax phone Number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Application/Control Number: 10/671,952 Page 5

Art Unit: 2628

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Jeffery A. Brier/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2628